

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,657 12/11/2001		12/11/2001	Zoltan Papp	2011808	4794	
34018	7590	12/16/2003	EXAMINER			
		URIG, P.C.	BRITTAIN, JAMES R			
77 WEST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60601-1732			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ţ-MŢ-Ţ-Ţ,				3677		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N			A A ! A ! -							
	•		Application No		Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Cummant		10/014,657		PAPP, ZOLTAN					
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
			James R. Brittai	n	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 11 Se	eptember 2003.							
· —	This action is FINAL .		action is non-fin	al.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) 🗌										
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or	r election require	ement.						
Applicati	on Papers									
-	The specification is objected to by									
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	•		-						
	Applicant may not request that any ob					-D 4 4047 IV				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	-								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			S.I.O.O. D.440/-) (d) = - (0					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 										
	cknowledgment is made of a claim									
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
Attachment			_	1						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5)		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,657

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 11, 2003 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, comprising claims 1-6, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 7-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C.§103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lilja et al. (US 5547322) in view of Glynn (US 3179969).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,657

Art Unit: 3677

Page 3

Lilja et al. (figure 1) teaches holding device with at least one operating mount, tow which fastening means that are stressed upon traction can be fixed, as well as with a securing unit for mounting the holding device in a stationary mount, whereby the securing unit has two stopping catches 9 distanced from one another, the stopping catches are positioned on elastically movable support units 11, 13 which are connected with one another by means of a transverse section 12 which is dimensionally stable in the mounted condition in that it doesn't change dynamically when mounted, the transverse section having two opposed end regions which are space apart, the elastically movable support units emanating from respective ones of the two opposed end regions of the transverse section, and the stopping catches being spaced apart a distance substantially less than the distance separating the opposed end regions of the transverse section, through which any pulling force that may be exerted upon the transverse section causes the constricted regions disposed between the stopping catches to move outwardly against the edge sections in the same manner as applicant's device. The difference is that the actual edge sections are not shown, though Lilia et al. clearly indicate that the fastener is secured to a hole in a wall or post and is to secure a net, wire or cable. It would have been obvious to have the hole in the post in view of Glynn (figures 1-3) suggesting securing a fastener utilized in traction within a hole so as to have the device snap fastened in the hole. As to claim 5, to make the transverse section thicker than the support units would have been obvious in view of Glynn in which the transverse section 5 defining the lug is of greater thickness than the resilient securing sections 18 so as to better secure the lug. In regard to claim 6, Glynn suggests modifying the fastener of Lilia et al. so that there is a rotational asymmetry so that the device won't twist in the opening.

Conclusion

* Application/Control Number: 10/014,657

Art Unit: 3677

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is 703-308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W & F 5:30-1:30, T 5:30-2:00 & TH 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

JRB